

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/763,419	07/19/2001	Abdul Malik	0152.00396 6244	
21901	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
SMITH & HOPEN PA			SUDERQUIST, ARLEN	
15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWATER, FL 33760			1743	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/763,419	MALIK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Arlen Soderquist	1743	

	Arlen Soderquist	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. Ir			
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			-			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)	l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filling a N	stice of Assessing	. 4 la a			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	t be entered in necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ıed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:		odergus	D ;			
·	ARLEN SOD	ERQUIST	/			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/763,419

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new issue at least includes the final wherein statement of claim 21 which has not previously been considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record and the following additional comments. It is noted that applicant points to page 28, line 14 of the original specification in discussing the new matter rejection applied against the claims. Examiner clearly states that the statement is not a basis for excluding cross-linked systems since it simply says that free radical cross-linking reactions are not required. This statement clearly does not convey to one of ordinary skill in the art that cross-linking is excluded from the process of preparing the columns. Thus the non-crosslinked language of the claims are trying to selectively exclude subject matter that is clearly not excluded by the specification as originally filed.